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## Motivation

- One of the main goals of String Phenomenology is to make concrete string models which:
(1) Reproduce the esablished low-energy physics (SM, $\Lambda$ CDM...)
(2) Extend the esablished low-energy physics (DM, SUSY, Inflation...)
- Type II string theory on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times X_{6}$, with $X_{6}$ compact CY 3-fold.
- 4d EFT is $\mathcal{N}=2$ SUGRA. More realistic $\mathcal{N}=1$ EFTs arise from modding out by an orientifold action $\Omega \mathcal{R}(-1)^{F_{L}}$
- Focus on IIB, with orientifold action allowing for $O 3 / O 7$ planes. Typical setup for the flux landscape (GKP '01. KKLT '03)
- Properties of the CY orientifold fix properties of the low energy 4 d EFT.


## Complex structure moduli stabilization

Prepotential has a polynomial part, and instanton corrections

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}(U)=\mathcal{F}_{\text {poly }}\left(U^{i}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{\text {inst }}\left(U^{i}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \mathcal{F}_{\text {inst }}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{3}} \sum_{d_{i}} A_{d_{i}} e^{2 \pi i d_{i} U^{i}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

- GVs $n_{\beta}^{g}$ (naively) count the number of holomorphic maps from worldsheet to a curve in the class $\beta=q^{i} \beta_{i} \in H^{2}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{\text {inst }}\left(U^{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{3}} \sum_{\beta \in H_{2}^{-}\left(\tilde{X}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \backslash\{0\}} n_{\beta} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(q^{\beta}\right) \\
\operatorname{Li}_{3}(x) & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m}}{m^{3}}, \quad q^{\beta}=e^{2 \pi i d_{i} U^{i}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Complete intersection CYs

- Defined as the zero locus $\tilde{X}$ of a set of homogeneous polynomials $p_{i}[x],(i=1, \ldots K)$ in an ambient space $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \ldots \mathbb{P}^{n_{s}}$
- Multidegrees of $p_{i}[x]$ encoded in the configuration matrix.

| $x^{i}$ | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y^{i}$ | $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $w^{i}$ | $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}-K=3
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}[x]=a_{i j k} y^{i} y^{j} w^{k}, \\
& p_{2}[x]=b_{i j k l} x^{i} x^{j} y^{k} w^{l},  \tag{4}\\
& p_{3}[x]=c_{i} w^{i}, \\
& p_{4}[x]=d_{i j} x^{i} w^{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

- List of at most 7890 (possibly) distinct CY constructed in this way. (Candelas, Dale, Lutken, Schimmrigk '87) (Green, Hubsch, Lutken '89) (Anderson, Gao, Gray, Lee '17)


## Computing the genus 0 GV by Mirror symmetry

 (Hosono, Klemm, Theisen, Yau, '94)- $\Pi(z)=\left(w_{0}(z),\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{i}} w_{0}(z, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0}, \ldots\right)^{t}$
- $w_{0}(z)=\sum_{n_{1} \geq 0} \cdots \sum_{n_{h^{2}, 1} \geq 0} c(n) \prod_{i=1}^{h^{2,1}} z_{i}^{n_{i}}$.

Generic solution of the PF equation for the first entry of the period vector, in terms of data in the configuration matrix.

- $w_{i}(z)=$
$\left.\sum_{n_{1} \geq 1} \cdots \sum_{n_{h^{2}, 1} \geq 0} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho^{i}} c(n+\rho)\right|_{\rho=0} \prod_{i=1}^{h^{2,1}} z_{i}^{n_{i}}+w_{0}(z) \frac{\ln z_{i}}{2 \pi i}$
- $t^{i}=\frac{w_{i}(z)}{w_{0}(z)}$ mirror map. Relation between Kahler moduli at large radius in the A-model side, with complex structure moduli at LCS in the B -model side.
- Now, invert the mirror map in order to get $z(t)$. Hardest step.


## Computing the genus 0 GV by Mirror symmetry

(Hosono, Klemm, Theisen, Yau, 94)

- Having $z(t)$, compute the quantum corrected triple intersection number

$$
k_{i j k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}} \frac{\left.\frac{1}{2} k_{k a b}^{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{a}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{a}} w_{0}(z, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0}}{w_{0}(z)}(t)
$$

- Introduce $q_{i}=\exp \left(2 \pi i t_{i}\right)$, and the general expression for the quantum corrected triple intersection number

$$
k_{i j k}=k_{i j k}^{0}+\sum_{n_{1} \geq 1} \ldots \sum_{n_{h^{2,1} \geq 0}} n_{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\bar{h}}, 1} d_{i} d_{j} d_{k} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{h}^{1,1}} q_{l}^{d_{l}}}{1-\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{h}_{l}^{1,1}} q_{l}^{d_{l}}}
$$

- Match and extract the GV.


## Instanton program, and the scan

- The above algorithm has been coded in a program called Instanton. (Klemm, Kreuzer)
- Made modification of their code, parallelized it, and let it run.
- Computing time $\approx 6$ months, on two different clusters (DESY and Madrid IFT)
- List all the genus 0 GV, up to total degree 10 , for all favourable CICY up to $h^{1,1}=9$.
- We find directions in the Picard lattice in which the GV invariants grow at hierarchical rates, as well as "vanishing directions" (Demirtas, Kim, McAllister, Moritz '20) and "periodic directions".
- List at
www.desy.de/~westphal/GV_CICY_webpage/GVInvariants.html


## Occupation sites for CICY $7858\left(h^{1,1}=2\right)$



Figure: Blue=non-zero GV. Black = zero GV. Orange = not computed, believed to be non-zero GV. Green = non-vanishing direction. Purple = vanishing direction.

## The need for rigid divisors

- Suppose we have fully stabilized the c.s. moduli. At lower energy if there are no non-perturbative effects, Kahler moduli have a flat potential (no scale). $W=W_{0}:=\left\langle W_{G V W}\right\rangle$
- Non-trivial potential for Kahler moduli can be generated by the presence of Euclidean E3s or D7-branes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(T)=W_{0}+\sum_{\vec{n}} A_{\vec{n}} e^{-2 \pi n_{a} T^{a}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- However, not any holomorphic 4-cycle will do the job.
- Relevant to ask: does your compactification space admit such nice 4-cycles?


## Rigidity, ampleness

## Rigidity

- In order to generate non-perturbative contribution to the superpotential, E3 or D7 need to wrap a rigid divisor.
- Rigid $=$ no normal bundle deformations. $\mathcal{O}(D)$ has a unique section. $h^{2,0}(D)=0$.
- $\chi_{h}(D)=1$ is a necessary condition for nontrivial $W_{n p}$.


## Ampleness

- If a divisor is ample (and rigid) a single E3 or D7 wrapped on them can stabilize all saxions of the $T^{a}$ and a combination of the $C_{4}$ axions. (Bobkov et al. '21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n p}=A \exp \left[-i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{h_{+}^{1,1}} a_{\alpha} T_{\alpha}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## More on ampleness

- (Nakai-Moishezon) A divisor $D$ is ample if for every closed subvariety $Y \subset X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\operatorname{dim}(Y)} \cdot Y>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Another way to define it is a codimension one surface with ample canonical bundle. (i.e. opposite of del Pezzo surfaces). Also called surface of general type.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{2}=\int_{D} c_{1}(D) \wedge c_{1}(D)>0, \quad c_{2}=\int_{D} c_{2}(D)>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Some properties

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{p, q}(X)=h^{p, q}(D), \quad \forall p+q<2  \tag{9}\\
\pi_{i}(X)=\pi_{i}(D), \quad \forall i<2 \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Divisor topologies

- We want to discuss what is the topology of divisors $D$ of CICYs.
- Solve this problem for coordinate divisors (i.e. $x_{i}=0$ ).
- $h^{i j}(D)$ can be computed via Koszul spectral sequence, and knowledge of $H^{i}(X, D)$.
- Can use Cohomcalg to do this computation. (Blumenhagen et al. '10, '11) However, starting from $h^{1,1}(X)=5$, it gets very slow.

Idea!

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi(D)=2 h^{0,0}-4 h^{1,0}+2 h^{2,0}+h^{1,1}=\int_{X}\left(\hat{D} \wedge \hat{D} \wedge \hat{D}+c_{2}(X) \wedge \hat{D}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \chi_{h}(D)=h^{0,0}-h^{1,0}+h^{2,0}=\frac{1}{12} \int_{X}\left(2 \hat{D} \wedge \hat{D} \wedge \hat{D}+c_{2}(X) \wedge \hat{D}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## Conjecture connected, simply connected.

- Conjecture that, for all favorable $\operatorname{CICYs}, h^{0,0}(D)=1$ and $h^{1,0}(D)=0$. Connected and simply connected.
- Conjecture verified by explicit computation up to $h^{1,1}(X)=6$. Have counterexamples for non-favourable CICYs.
- Now trivially solve for $h^{1,1}(D)$ and $h^{2,0}(D)$.

1

$$
0
$$

$$
D \equiv\left(\chi_{h}-1\right) \quad 0 \begin{gather*}
\left(\chi-2 \chi_{h}\right)  \tag{0}\\
1
\end{gather*} \quad 0 \quad\left(\chi_{h}-1\right)
$$

## Results

| Sr. <br> $\#$ | Divisor topology <br> $\left\{h^{0,0}, h^{1,0}, h^{2,0}, h^{11}\right\}$ | frequency <br> $(57885$ divisors $)$ | frequency <br> $(7820$ spaces $)$ | $h^{1,1}$ <br> $(\mathrm{pCICY})$ | $\int_{\mathrm{CY}} \hat{D}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | $K 3 \equiv\{1,0,1,20\}$ | 30901 | 7736 | $2-15$ | 0 |
| T2 | $\{1,0,2,30\}$ | 22150 | 7436 | $2-15$ | 0 |
| T3 | $\{1,0,3,38\}$ | 3372 | 2955 | $2-13$ | 2 |
| T4 | $\{1,0,3,36\}$ | 91 | 91 | $3-13$ | 4 |
| T5 | $\{1,0,4,46\}$ | 714 | 690 | $2-11$ | 4 |
| T6 | $\{1,0,4,45\}$ | 283 | 277 | $1-11$ | 5 |
| T7 | $\{1,0,4,44\}$ | 91 | 91 | $2-11$ | 6 |
| T8 | $\{1,0,5,52\}$ | 198 | 198 | $1-9$ | 8 |
| T9 | $\{1,0,5,51\}$ | 28 | 28 | $1-9$ | 9 |
| T10 | $\{1,0,6,58\}$ | 42 | 42 | $1-7$ | 12 |
| T11 | $\{1,0,7,64\}$ | 15 | 15 | $1-5$ | 16 |

Table 2: Divisor topologies for favorable pCICYs and their frequencies of appearance.

## Conclusions and future directions

## Summarizing the results:

- Compute explicitly genus zero GV up to degree 10 for all favourable CICYs up to $h^{1,1}=9$.
- Classify topologies of coordinate divisors in CICYs. None of them is rigid.


## For the future:

- Does something funny happen for non-favourables? Schoen etc.
- Interesting to perform similar tasks in KS.
- Topologies of non-coordinate divisors.
- Global models on CICYs in generic toric ambient spaces and on gCICYs are essentially unexplored.

